

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/804,136	03/19/2004	Alvise Sartori	APV31683	6373
7590 01/23/2006			EXAMINER	
Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher, L.L.P.			LUU, THANH X	
Suite 850	•			
1615 L Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annication No	LAP				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/804,136	SARTORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI- MAU NO DATE AND	Thanh X. Luu	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 December 2005.					
·=	,—					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-6,8,9 and 12-19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-6,8,9 and 12-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2005 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed December 23, 2005. Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9 and 12-19 are currently pending.

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it still uses unconventional terminology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagihara (EP 1041818) in view of Merrill et al. (U.S. Patent 6,731,397).

Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 8 and 12-19, Hagihara discloses (see Fig. 40) a photosensitive reception means (PD), a current conversion circuit (T1, T3) and an amplification and reading circuit (T2, T5), wherein the current conversion circuit comprises a P-channel transistor (T3) that is able to put the photosensitive element into reset (initialization) and integration (accumulation of charge). Hagihara also discloses a second transistor (T1) coupled to the P-channel transistor as claimed to allow either a linear conversion or a logarithmic conversion of the photo-current. Further as understood, the second transistor is *able* to represent an active load, the device has an

Application/Control Number: 10/804,136

Art Unit: 2878

inversely polarized N-type diode, the number of second transistors *can be* varied, and the photosensitive element is *able* to be integrated or be constituted in a cell or matrix of cell sensors as claimed. Hagihara does not specifically disclose the second transistor or the other transistors as being of the N-channel type or a reversal in conductivities of the transistors. Merrill et al. teach (see col. 6, lines 40-50) an electro-optical sensor in which N-channel type or P-channel type or a mix of N-channel and P-channel type transistors may be used as desired. Thus, Merrill et al. recognize that choosing the conductivities of the transistors in an electro-optical device requires only routine skill in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide N-channel transistors or a reversal of transistor conductivities in the apparatus of Hagihara in view of Merrill et al. to obtain a more efficient circuit (combination of N-channel and P-channel transistors) as desired.

Page 3

Regarding claim 9, Hagihara and Merrill et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Hagihara and Merrill et al. do not specifically disclose the response of the photosensitive element as claimed. However, the claimed response is well known and choosing the specific sensitivity and response of a photosensitive element requires only routine skill in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose the claimed response in the apparatus of Hagihara in view of Merrill et al. to obtain a desired improved detection result.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are

Application/Control Number: 10/804,136 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/804,136

Art Unit: 2878

Page 5

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

01/2006